



West Virginia RHC Legislative Impact

Jonathan Pantenburg, MHA
JPantenburg@Stroudwater.com
March 31, 2021



Overview

Definitions / Regulations

Impact to RHCs and Hospitals

Questions

DEFINITIONS / REGULATIONS

- On December 27, 2020, the President signed into law, the “*Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 (CAA)*” which changed the reimbursement methodology for Rural Health Clinics (RHC) starting on April 1, 2021
 - Starting on April 1, 2021, all new RHCs established after December 31, 2019, regardless of whether they are independent, owned and operated by a hospital with fewer than 50 beds, or owned and operated by a hospital with greater than 50 beds, shall be reimbursed based on reasonable cost with an upper payment limit (UPL) set at the following rates:
 - a) In 2021, after March 31, at \$100 per visit;
 - b) In 2022, at \$113 per visit;
 - c) In 2023, at \$126 per visit;
 - d) In 2024, at \$139 per visit;
 - e) In 2025, at \$152 per visit;
 - f) In 2026, at \$165 per visit;
 - g) In 2027, at \$178 per visit;
 - h) In 2028, at \$190 per visit;
 - i) In subsequent years, the rate will increase based on the Medicare Economic Index (MEI) for primary care services
 - RHCs owned and operated by a hospital with fewer than 50 beds and established on or before December 31, 2019, will use their 2020 rate to establish a clinic-specific grandfathered UPL that will then be increased each year based on the MEI
- Since the final legislation varied greatly from the RHC Modernization Act and due to the impact on provider-based RHCs (PB-RHC), efforts are underway to change certain provisions
 - The removal of the un-capped cost-based reimbursement rate for RHCs owned and operated by hospitals with fewer than 50 beds will jeopardize the financial solvency of many hospitals

IMPACT TO RHCs and HOSPITALS

- The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021 set a grandfathered date of December 31, 2019 for RHCs owned and operated by hospitals with fewer than 50 beds; however, this has brought forth issues
 1. There were 295 new RHCs established in 2020, of which 153 were PB-RHCs, and unless a legislative fix passes, those clinics will be subject to the \$100 UPL starting on April 1, 2021
 - As passed, this changed the rules of the game after several practices received their RHC designation
 - Granted, discussions are under way to change this date; however, this will require legislation
 2. Several hospitals have already filed their 855As (in process), but have not yet been surveyed, and will be subject to the new UPL
 - This will require hospitals and systems to reevaluate their strategies to determine if the RHC program remains a viable option to maintain services
 3. Several hospitals have capital projects underway and the change in the PB-RHC reimbursement methodology may jeopardize the future solvency of those hospitals due to the capped rates
 - The unanticipated and under-communicated change in the reimbursement methodology may lead to the termination of capital projects and a decline in rural community investment
 4. CMS used site-neutrality in the 2019 OPPS Final Rule (US Court of Appeals, DC ruled in their favor) to reduce APC payments received by off-campus provider-based practices that were grandfathered through the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015
 - *See next slide for additional information*

- The Bipartisan Budget Act (BBA) of 2015 identified excepted provider-based items and services as those permitted to bill for items and services under OPPS after January 1, 2017, as the following:
 1. By a dedicated emergency department;
 2. By an off-campus provider-based department (PBD) that was billing for covered outpatient department (OPD) services furnished prior to November 2, 2015, that has not impermissibly relocated or changed ownership; or
 3. In a PBD that is “on the campus,” or within 250 yards, of the hospital or a remote location of the hospital.
- Through the 2019 OPPS Final Rule, to save the Medicare program and beneficiaries a combined \$380m in 2019, CMS removed the grandfathering provision identified in the BBA of 2015 that applied to off-campus PBDs billing for covered OPD services furnished prior to November 2, 2015
 - Under the final rule, CMS would make payments for clinic visits site-neutral by reducing the payment rate for hospital outpatient clinic visits provided at off-campus provider-based departments by 60% with a two-year phase-in of this policy in 2019 and 2020

On July 20, 2020, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit upheld the CMS volume control site-neutrality payment policy for off-campus hospital clinic sites

CONSIDERATION: Are grandfathered PB-RHCs at risk in the future due to the outcome of the OPPS site-neutral suit?

- Critical Access Hospitals (CAH) are paid for most inpatient and outpatient services at 101 percent of Reasonable Cost
 - Medicare does not include CAHs in the hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) nor the hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS)
 - Medicare pays CAH services according to Part A and Part B deductible and coinsurance amounts and does not limit most of the 20 percent CAH Part B outpatient services copayment changes by the Part A inpatient deductible amount¹
- Roughly 890 of the 1,350 CAHs own and operate at least one RHC which represents 66% of the total number of CAHs across the country
 - Those 890 CAHs own and operate roughly 1,650 RHCs
 - Under the prior RHC reimbursement methodology, PB-RHCs owned and operated by a CAH would receive un-capped cost-based reimbursement from Medicare which is in line with most of the other essential, core safety net services offered at the CAH
 - Un-capped cost-based reimbursement subject to meeting the RHC minimum productivity threshold and allowable cost assumptions
- The change in PB-RHC reimbursement methodology means CAHs will no longer receive cost-based reimbursement for a business unit that may represent a large portion of the business and a cornerstone of their rural community's healthcare delivery system
 - Based on FY19 cost report data, roughly 750 RHCs owned and operated by a CAH saw annual cost increases in excess of the 1.4% 2021 Medicare Economic Index (MEI) which will negatively impact the financial performance of those CAHs
 - From 2017 to 2019, the capped RHC rate increased between 1.2% to 1.9% per year
 - The 750 RHCs referenced have been in operation for at least 3 years

CAH Overhead Cost Allocation

- The Medicare Cost Report is a systematic method of cost accounting that determines both allowable costs and the costs allocated to each department (such as Med/Surg, ED, PB-RHC, etc.)
 - Since CAHs receive cost-based reimbursement for most other services, the allocation of costs to each department is of importance and the CAH settlement can have a material impact on the financial statements
- Since the Medicare cost-report allocation methodology requires the inclusion of provider compensation when determining the overhead costs allocated to the PB-RHC, PB-RHCs can distribute a disproportionate amount of overhead costs to a now non-cost-based program
 - The following example illustrates the impact of a PB-RHC on the allocation of costs under a CAH:

	Direct Cost	Adjustment	Adjusted Cost	Overhead Allocation	Fully Allocated Cost
PBC	\$ 2,123,292	\$ (962,156)	\$ 1,161,136	\$ 518,696	\$ 1,679,832
PB-RHC	\$ 2,123,292		\$ 2,123,292	\$ 622,867	\$ 2,746,159
				\$ 104,171	

- In the example provided, operating the practice as a PB-RHC led to a \$104K increase in overhead cost allocation to the PB-RHC which will negatively impact reimbursements received for other cost-based services

- Based on the 2020 Provider of Service (POS) file, WV had 59 RHCs with a being 28 independent and 31 being hospital-based
 - Of that total, the following 9 RHCs were established in 2020, with 7 being PB-RHCs subject to the new \$100 UPL unless a legislative fix occurs:

Hospital Owner	Hospital Designation	Clinic Name	Clinic Town	Clinic Designation	Designation Date
Pleasant Valley Hospital	STAC	VALLEY HEALTH FAMILY MEDICINE INWOOD	INWOOD	RHC	11/5/2020
Pleasant Valley Hospital	STAC	PLEASANT VALLEY HOSPITAL REGIONAL HEALTH CENTER	POINT PLEASANT	PB-RHC	9/24/2020
Potomac Valley Hospital	CAH	FORT ASHBY RURAL HEALTH CLINIC OF POTOMAC VALLEY H	FORT ASHBY	PB-RHC	8/12/2020
Potomac Valley Hospital	CAH	MINERAL COUNTY RURAL HEALTH CLINIC OF POTOMAC VALL	KEYSER	PB-RHC	8/12/2020
St. Josephs Hospital Of Buckhannon	CAH	ST JOSEPH'S CLINIC	BUCKHANNON	PB-RHC	7/29/2020
St. Josephs Hospital Of Buckhannon	CAH	BRUSHY FORK CLINIC	BUCKHANNON	PB-RHC	7/28/2020
Pleasant Valley Hospital	STAC	BEND AREA CLINIC	MASON	PB-RHC	5/14/2020
Sistersville General Hospital	CAH	ST MARYS EXPRESS CARE	SAINT MARYS	PB-RHC	3/10/2020
		SUMMERSVILLE PEDIATRICS, INC	WEBSTER SPRINGS	RHC	1/20/2020

- It is unknown the exact number of practices, whether independent or hospital-based, that were “in process” in WV when the RHC reimbursement methodology changed
 - Even though clinics and or hospitals may have expended capital resources to transition to an RHC, those entities must evaluate whether the RHC designation still makes sense
- In 2020, there were 14 WV CAHs that owned and operated 25 RHCs that will now be subject to the annual UPL increase
 - 5 of those RHCs were established in 2020 and would be subject to the \$100 UPL in 2020 unless legislation passes to change the grandfathering date
 - This does not include the clinics converted in 2020 that had not yet received their Medicare CCN

RHC Specific Impact - Grandfathered

- With a change in the reimbursement methodology, hospitals must evaluate and understand the net impact on future reimbursements
 - Critical Access Hospital (Former Un-Capped RHC Rate):
 - The following table presents the net impact on reimbursements for a CAH that operates 2 RHCs if the law went into effect in 2016 and the practices were “grandfathered”:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	COMBINED	Rate Increase
Cost-Based Rate	\$ 154.85	\$ 188.94	\$ 223.91	\$ 220.92		43%
Grandfathered UPL	154.85	157.01	159.21	161.44		4%
Variance	\$ -	\$ (31.93)	\$ (64.69)	\$ (59.48)	\$ (39.85)	<-- AVG
Medicare Visits	4,114	4,226	4,269	4,653	17,262	
Lost Reimbursement	\$ -	\$ (134,927)	\$ (276,183)	\$ (276,757)	\$ (687,867)	

- Hospital-based RHC with > 50 Beds (Former Capped RHC Rate):
 - The following table presents the net impact on reimbursements for 2 hospital-based RHCs if the law went into effect in 2016:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	COMBINED	Rate Increase
Clinic Cost / Visit	\$ 145.56	\$ 126.50	\$ 131.06	\$ 141.35		-3%
Independent Rate	81.32	82.30	83.45	84.70		4%
New UPL RHC Rate	100.00	113.00	126.00	139.00		39%
Variance	\$ 18.68	\$ 30.70	\$ 42.55	\$ 54.30	\$ 35.80	<-- AVG
Medicare Visits	2,478	2,319	2,379	2,065	9,241	
Reimbursement Gain	\$ 46,289	\$ 71,193	\$ 101,226	\$ 112,130	\$ 330,838	

- Stroudwater assumed an annual Medicare Economic Index (MEI) increase of 1.4% for both scenarios
- Since RHCs will receive the lesser of their cost-based rate or the UPL, the green-shaded box highlights whether the practice would receive their cost-based rate or the UPL

RHC Specific Impact - New RHC

- Critical Access Hospital (Former Un-Capped RHC Rate):

- The following table presents the net difference in reimbursements received if the CAH established the same 2 practices as new RHCs in 2016; however, the practices were subject to the new UPL:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	COMBINED	Rate Increase
Cost-Based Rate	\$ 154.85	\$ 188.94	\$ 223.91	\$ 220.92		43%
New RHC UPL Rate	100.00	113.00	126.00	139.00		39%
Variance	\$ (54.85)	\$ (75.94)	\$ (97.91)	\$ (81.92)	\$ (77.96)	<-- AVG
Medicare Visits	4,114	4,226	4,269	4,653	17,262	
Lost Reimbursement	\$ (225,640)	\$ (320,933)	\$ (417,968)	\$ (381,178)	\$ (1,345,719)	

- Hospital-based RHC with > 50 Beds (Former Capped RHC Rate):

- The following table presents the net difference in reimbursements received if the hospital-based RHCs were established as new RHCs and subject to the new UPL:

	2016	2017	2018	2019	COMBINED	Rate Increase
Clinic Cost / Visit	\$ 145.56	\$ 126.50	\$ 131.06	\$ 141.35		-3%
Independent Rate	81.32	82.30	83.45	84.70		4%
New UPL RHC Rate	100.00	113.00	126.00	139.00		39%
Variance	\$ 18.68	\$ 30.70	\$ 42.55	\$ 54.30	\$ 35.80	<-- AVG
Medicare Visits	2,478	2,319	2,379	2,065	9,241	
Reimbursement Gain	\$ 46,289	\$ 71,193	\$ 101,226	\$ 112,130	\$ 330,838	

- Stroudwater assumed an annual Medicare Economic Index (MEI) increase of 1.4% for both scenarios
- Since RHCs will receive the lesser of their cost-based rate or the UPL, the green-shaded box highlights whether the practice would receive their cost-based rate or the UPL

- Many hospitals and systems leveraged the RHC program to expand access to care in rural communities by partnering with rural providers
 - Specifically, the reimbursement advantage afforded to PB-RHCs owned and operated by hospitals with fewer than 50 beds incentivized larger hospitals and systems to engage smaller hospitals, expand access, and decentralize services away from urban centers
 - Increasingly, RHCs functioned as the means to expand access to behavioral health and substance abuse services in rural areas where disparities are more extreme and needs are more acute
- Since all new RHCs now receive the same rate, larger hospitals (those with > 50 beds) no longer have the same financial incentives to partner with smaller rural hospitals, including CAHs
 - With the change in the RHC reimbursement methodology (UPL increasing to \$190 in 2028) and access to 340B for qualifying hospitals, larger hospitals can further leverage RHCs to target new markets, bypassing rural providers, and redirect services to those larger facilities
 - Note: The HRSA off-site outpatient facility (child-site) registration requirements do not require a CMS provider-based determination and an RHC owned and operated by a hospital with greater than 50 beds may qualify for 340B if the hospital and RHC meet the HRSA registration requirements



1685 Congress St. Suite 202
Portland, Maine 04102
(207) 221-8250

www.stroudwater.com